

Close Catching Error Review Notebook - Plan a Match Scenario

Close Catching | Plan a Match Scenario | Asset ID CRIC-17-07-08

Purpose: This original printable error review notebook helps players, coaches, clubs, schools and families work with close catching while pursuing one defined outcome: turn scoreboard and resources into a bounded tactical plan. Its method is to identify the trigger, isolate one cause and retry with one adjustment. Use the pages as a working record, not as a substitute for current competition rules, safe facilities, suitable equipment and qualified coaching or health guidance where those are needed.

Resource Map

The subject focus is to prepare early, watch the ball and use progressive safe practice. The six working areas below define the scope for this specific resource. Complete only the rows that matter to the present practice block, and date any observation that may change.

#	Working area	Current evidence	Next check
1	Stance	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
2	Reaction Cue	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
3	Hand Position	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
4	Body Line	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
5	Rebound Response	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
6	Difficulty Progression	Known / estimated / not checked	_____

Scenario and Facilitation Starting Brief

- Frame: Which evidence separates body line from rebound response in this case? Frame a bounded scenario with a clear success measure.
- Assign: How does difficulty progression change the interpretation of stance? Assign roles, resources and stop conditions.
- Run: What boundary on reaction cue must be checked before relying on hand position? Run the scenario without adding hidden rules.
- Capture: Which observation links rebound response to reaction cue, and what remains uncertain? Capture decisions, timing and exceptions.
- Debrief: What alternative explanation could account for both stance and body line? Debrief actions with evidence and balanced participation.
- Edition success means: run and review the scenario with a reason for each adjustment

Error Review Notebook

This format is designed to turn a repeated miss into a testable next practice. For close catching, apply the method in a deliberate sequence: identify the trigger, isolate one cause and retry with one adjustment. The edition target is plan a match scenario, so each entry should show what was noticed, what was tried and what changed.

Working step	What to record	Evidence or source	Status
Frame Body Line	Which evidence separates body line from rebound response in this case?	Scenario brief / date	Open / checked
Assign Rebound Response	How does difficulty progression change the interpretation of stance?	Role map / date	Open / checked
Run Difficulty Progression	What boundary on reaction cue must be checked before relying on hand position?	Scenario run / date	Open / checked
Capture Stance	Which observation links rebound response to reaction cue, and what remains uncertain?	Event record / date	Open / checked
Debrief Reaction Cue	What alternative explanation could account for both stance and body line?	Debrief / date	Open / checked
Transfer Hand Position	What next check on hand position would make the record about difficulty progression more useful?	Transfer plan / date	Open / checked

Completion Standard

- Keep the work tied to close catching, not to a vague general intention.
- Apply this adjustment: define the situation, roles, field and success measure
- Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt?
- Expose this outcome risk if it appears: learning is not transferred.
- Use original notes and explanations; quote only when necessary, lawful and properly attributed.
- Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional to challenge one material assumption where appropriate.
- Close with one decision and one follow-up rather than an unranked list of ideas.

Working Note

Edition CRIC-17-07-08 pairs error review notebook with the outcome 'Plan a Match Scenario'. That pairing is the boundary for this workbook: material that does not help that outcome belongs in a separate record.

Progress Review x Scenario and Facilitation Focused Practice Lab

This lab pairs a progress review method with a scenario and facilitation outcome lens. Work through the six actions to turn the subject focus - prepare early, watch the ball and use progressive safe practice - into evidence for plan a match scenario. Preserve any gap that prevents a supported conclusion.

#	Action	Constraint	Record
1	Log / Frame hand position	Which evidence separates body line from rebound response in this case? Frame a bounded scenario with a clear success measure.	Entry / Scenario brief
2	Classify / Assign body line	How does difficulty progression change the interpretation of stance? Assign roles, resources and stop conditions.	Class / Role map
3	Diagnose / Run rebound response	What boundary on reaction cue must be checked before relying on hand position? Run the scenario without adding hidden rules.	Cause / Scenario run
4	Adjust / Capture difficulty progression	Which observation links rebound response to reaction cue, and what remains uncertain? Capture decisions, timing and exceptions.	Adjustment / Event record
5	Retry / Debrief stance	What alternative explanation could account for both stance and body line? Debrief actions with evidence and balanced participation.	Retest / Debrief
6	Update / Transfer reaction cue	What next check on hand position would make the record about difficulty progression more useful? Transfer the learning into the next owned action.	Target / Transfer plan

Common Failure Modes

- For hand position, watch for the entries are not comparable together with the scenario lacks a boundary.
- For body line, watch for the result was misclassified together with roles or stop conditions are unclear.
- For rebound response, watch for the symptom is mistaken for the cause together with hidden rules appear during the run.
- For difficulty progression, watch for several adjustments were combined together with only the final result is captured.
- For stance, watch for the retry conditions changed together with the debrief becomes blame.

Independent Review Question

Format question: Which repeated pattern deserves the next controlled adjustment? Outcome question: Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt? Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional where appropriate, then answer before the next practice block: _____

Cricket Practice Plan for Plan a Match Scenario

Use one defined practice block to test the outcome rather than attempting every possible task. This edition pairs progress review with scenario and facilitation to pursue this objective: turn scoreboard and resources into a bounded tactical plan. Preserve the starting condition and both evidence trails.

Plan field	Entry
Purpose	Plan a Match Scenario
Starting condition	_____
Chosen working area	_____
Event record	define the situation, roles, field and success measure
Evidence to capture	_____
Stop or review condition	_____

Thirty Minute Practice Shape

Stage	Action	Evidence
0-5 min	Which evidence separates body line from rebound response in this case? Log a comparable attempt or observation.	Entry / Scenario brief
5-10 min	How does difficulty progression change the interpretation of stance? Classify the result without blame.	Class / Role map
10-18 min	What boundary on reaction cue must be checked before relying on hand position? Identify the earliest useful cause.	Cause / Scenario run
18-24 min	Which observation links rebound response to reaction cue, and what remains uncertain? Choose one controlled adjustment.	Adjustment / Event record
24-27 min	What alternative explanation could account for both stance and body line? Retry under stated conditions.	Retest / Debrief
27-30 min	What next check on hand position would make the record about difficulty progression more useful? Update the next target from evidence.	Target / Transfer plan

Progress Entry Log

Progress Entry	Condition	Observation	Decision
1	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
2	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
3	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
4	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
5	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check

Progress and Error Pattern Review - Scenario and Facilitation Lens

This comparison tests close catching against plan a match scenario through two independent questions. Format: Which repeated pattern deserves the next controlled adjustment? Outcome: Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt? Record material setting differences instead of averaging them away.

Evidence area	Baseline	Alternative	Interpretation prompt
Reaction Cue	_____	_____	Which evidence separates body line from rebound response in this case?
Hand Position	_____	_____	How does difficulty progression change the interpretation of stance?
Body Line	_____	_____	What boundary on reaction cue must be checked before relying on hand position?
Rebound Response	_____	_____	Which observation links rebound response to reaction cue, and what remains uncertain?
Difficulty Progression	_____	_____	What alternative explanation could account for both stance and body line?
Stance	_____	_____	What next check on hand position would make the record about difficulty progression more useful?

Format Decision Test

Field	Record
Review question	Which repeated pattern deserves the next controlled adjustment?
Outcome question	Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt?
Acceptance lens	run and review the scenario with a reason for each adjustment
Conditions held steady	_____
Evidence supporting the choice	_____
Evidence against the choice	_____

Progress Review Confidence Check

- Scenario brief: what was actually seen, heard, measured or read?
- Format evidence: which record best demonstrates causes tested?
- Outcome evidence: which record best demonstrates learning transferred?
- Challenge: could roles or stop conditions are unclear explain the result?
- Subject boundary: what does this comparison leave unresolved about body line?

Progress Review x Scenario and Facilitation Scenario Cards

Use these paired scenarios to rehearse judgement for plan a match scenario. Each card joins a progress review failure mode to an outcome-specific risk. State what remains open and which evidence named in the final column should be collected next.

#	Situation	Review question	Decision
1	Body Line: the symptom is mistaken for the cause.	Which evidence separates body line from rebound response in this case?	Scenario brief
2	Rebound Response: several adjustments were combined.	How does difficulty progression change the interpretation of stance?	Role map
3	Difficulty Progression: the retry conditions changed.	What boundary on reaction cue must be checked before relying on hand position?	Scenario run
4	Stance: the target ignores the evidence.	Which observation links rebound response to reaction cue, and what remains uncertain?	Event record
5	Reaction Cue: the entries are not comparable.	What alternative explanation could account for both stance and body line?	Debrief
6	Hand Position: the result was misclassified.	What next check on hand position would make the record about difficulty progression more useful?	Transfer plan

Decision Record

Field	Notes
Chosen format scenario	_____
Outcome lens	Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt?
Evidence available	_____
Evidence missing	_____
Controlled next step	_____
Person / review date	_____

Eight Progress Review and Scenario and Facilitation Evidence Tracker

Track plan a match scenario across eight practice blocks using the progress review method and the scenario and facilitation outcome lens. Keep conditions visible and use this review question: Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt?

#	Focus	Condition	Result	Next action
1	Hand Position	_____	_____	_____
2	Body Line	_____	_____	_____
3	Rebound Response	_____	_____	_____
4	Difficulty Progression	_____	_____	_____
5	Stance	_____	_____	_____
6	Reaction Cue	_____	_____	_____
7	Hand Position	_____	_____	_____
8	Body Line	_____	_____	_____

Progress Review Evidence Dashboard

Measure	Baseline	Current	Evidence location
Stance: Entries Completed / Scenarios Framed	_____	_____	_____
Reaction Cue: Results Classified / Roles Assigned	_____	_____	_____
Hand Position: Causes Tested / Runs Completed	_____	_____	_____
Body Line: Single Changes Made / Events Captured	_____	_____	_____
Rebound Response: Retries Compared / Debriefs Evidenced	_____	_____	_____
Difficulty Progression: Targets Updated / Learning Transferred	_____	_____	_____

Interpret the Trend

- I kept the scenario rules and success measure visible throughout the run.
- I converted the debrief into a specific action with an owner.
- Keep the method only when the evidence for plan a match scenario improves without hiding roles or stop conditions are unclear.
- What boundary on reaction cue must be checked before relying on hand position?
- Use a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional when the evidence crosses a safety, rights, health or authority boundary.

Progress Review x Scenario and Facilitation Next Step Plan

Finish by turning the paired format and outcome record into one owned action. For this error review notebook, the intended result was plan a match scenario; the outcome test is: Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt? The close-out standard remains: run and review the scenario with a reason for each adjustment.

Close-out field	Record
Most useful evidence	_____
Important limitation	_____
Decision	Keep / adapt / pause / seek review
Next action	_____
Owner and date	_____
Evidence location	_____

Completion Review

- Subject check: Which evidence separates body line from rebound response in this case?
- Subject check: How does difficulty progression change the interpretation of stance?
- Format check: I based the next target on repeated evidence, not the best isolated result.
- Outcome check: I converted the debrief into a specific action with an owner.
- I used a progressive warm-up and did not ignore pain, unsafe surfaces, heat or fatigue.
- I kept the drill generic and did not imply affiliation with a player, team, league or governing body.

Originality and Responsible Use

Original independent resource. This general practice, observation and review aid was written specifically for asset CRIC-17-07-08. It does not reproduce a book passage, manual, official form, commercial question bank, branded training plan, broadcast, photograph, logo, artwork, map, proprietary diagram or third-party worksheet. Any user-added quotation, image, question or source material remains the user's responsibility and may require attribution or permission.

Educational use only. Verify current facts, rules, competition requirements, local regulations, health and safety conditions with authoritative sources and a suitably qualified person where consequences matter. This workbook does not grant approval, certification, legal rights or permission to use third-party material.